

spread of rabies within the wild animals in Nigeria. Conclusively, rabies is a problem in Nigeria such that even the apparently healthy dogs slaughtered for human consumption harbor the viral antigen in the brains and saliva. This is an obvious public health risk and may have serious implication. The low number of Nigerian dogs immunized (10% instead of 70-80%) leaves the country with abundance of epizootic siblings for rabies outbreaks. The wide spread of rabies in the wildlife is an emerging proof of the role of wildlife in the epidemiology of rabies in Nigeria. It is our recommendation that a RITA model to rabies control as employed in the Americas be applied in West Africa especially Nigeria. **Keywords:** Rabies, Rabies problem, Rabies virus, Apparently healthy dogs, Vaccination, Nigeria.

CO.27

TRANSLOCATION OF DOG RABIES IN ISRAEL BY TOURISM

David D¹, Dveres N¹, Yakobson BA², Dvorkin Z³, Davidson I⁴, Yagil J⁵, Oved Z⁶ – ¹Kimron Veterinary Institute – Rabies Laboratory, ²Kimron Veterinary Institute – Director, ³Director of Veterinary Services, Jerusalem district, Israel, ⁴Kimron Veterinary Institute, Bet Dagan ⁵⁰²⁵⁰, POB ¹², Israel, ⁵Bet Zait, Israel, ⁶Veterinary Services, State of Israel, Bet Dagan, ⁵⁰²⁵⁰, Israel

Rabies is enzootic throughout the Middle East. In Israel rabies is endemic and stray dogs (*Canis familiaris*) form the main reservoir and transmitter. Since 2004 and to the present the state of Israel has been forced to cope with a rabies strain new to the country, designated V7. Because dogs are in close contact with people the new V7 strain that circulated at the Northern region of Israel possesses a serious health threat to humans. In the present communication we report a tourism type of rabies translocation, in which a family from Jerusalem took their unvaccinated dog to the northern Israel. On 19 December, 2011 a dog was diagnosed positive for rabies in the Israeli National Rabies Laboratory at the Kimron Veterinary Institute. A case investigation revealed that on 13 December, a 3 years old Golden Retriever dog belonging to a family living in Jerusalem showed clinical symptoms of inappetence, salivation and incoordination. The dog was vaccinated twice against distemper and parvo virus Duramune Max® (Fort Dodge, Iowa, USA) but not against rabies. On 15 December the dog was admitted to a private veterinary clinic in Jerusalem and under clinical examination it showed unusually alert behaviour and reaction to external stimuli. The dog showed no clinical symptoms of aggression during the period of illness. On 16 December the dog showed ulterior deterioration of clinical symptoms with convulsions and unconsciousness and was treated with diazepam (Assival, Teva). As no health improvement was seen, the dog was euthanized on 18 December and was transferred to the Kimron Veterinary Institute. Rabies was diagnosed by direct fluorescence assay, and was confirmed by isolation of the rabies virus in tissue culture and its inoculation into a family of suckling mice. Reverse transcriptase – PCR and direct sequencing were applied to a 469 base pair (bp) G-L intergenic region fragment and to the entire 1350 bp of the nucleoprotein gene. A phylogenetic tree showed that the Jerusalem dog's sequence belonged to the V7 genetic variant that circulated in northern Israel. During the period of 2004 to 2011, 181 rabies viruses belonging to V7 genetic variants were isolated from rabid animals in northern Israel. The Jerusalem dog's rabies virus isolate was the only example of the V7 genetic variant that was ever isolated from an animal in Central Israel. The Jerusalem dog was probably bitten by the rabid stray dog that circulated on the eastern coast of the Lake Kinneret located in Northern Israel. After an incubation period of about 2 months the clinical symptoms appeared in Jerusalem. As a consequence of this rabies diagnosis, post-exposure vaccination was given to the two owners, two veterinarians and an additional 18 people, who had come into

contact with the dog in Jerusalem. Various sanitary measures were imposed in Jerusalem, such as reinforcement of measures against stray animals, boosting of domestic dog vaccination and quarantine of unvaccinated dogs. Because domestic animals can serve as a bridge between wildlife rabies reservoirs and humans, their vaccination greatly effective as public health tools that are available to safeguard the human health.

CO.28

TRANSICIÓN EPIDEMIOLÓGICA DE LA RABIA CANINA EN MÉXICO

Vargas FP¹, Gutiérrez VC¹, Chávez IAF¹, Lezana MAF¹ – ¹Secretaría de Salud/México – CENAPRECE

En México como resultado de las campañas masivas de vacunación antirrábica canina a partir de 1990 y que se han mantenido a la fecha, el registro de casos confirmados por laboratorio por **IFD** en esta especie observó un decrecimiento sostenido año con año; comparando este comportamiento en dos períodos, refiere que en el primero de los 90's se acumularon 13,811 casos en contraste se reduce para el segundo del 2000 a 921 casos con decremento del 93.3%, se describen a continuación algunas variables epidemiológicas asociadas a ellos. **Densidad de población**, en la década de los 90's los casos predominaron en municipios con características urbanas por arriba del 80%, afectando colonias o localidades populosas de clase media baja, el resto se ubicó en localidades de municipios con características rurales; en el período del 2000 se invierte esta proporción y predominan los casos en áreas marginadas de las grandes ciudades y de tipo rural. Destaca que los casos de los últimos 3 años comprenden lugares próximos a basureros descontrolados, viviendas en despoblado de alta marginación carentes de servicios públicos básicos (calles, electricidad, agua potable y drenaje) lo que favorece exceso de perros en situación de calle. **Entidades federativas afectadas**, en la década de los 90's los casos ocurrieron en 31 entidades de las 32 en que se divide el país, contrastando tres entidades que acumulan 56% del total de los casos; en el período del 2000 se reduce a 24 las entidades que registraron casos, de éstas dos acumulan el 65% del total. **Dosis aplicadas de vacuna antirrábica canina**, a través de centros de salud como punto de referencia para ubicar en la localidad los puestos o brigadas de vacunación ya identificadas por otras jornadas de salud por la comunidad; en la década de los 90's se aplicaron 101.3 millones de dosis, para el período del 2000 se incrementó a 196.2 millones de dosis. **Epidemiología molecular, de la transferencia de tecnología del CDC**, a partir de 1996 con la caracterización antigenica con panel de 8 anticuerpos monoclonales, se corroboró en algunas muestras (160) de perro o de personas fallecidas por rabia transmitida por este animal según interrogatorio, la presencia de la variante V1 (perro) en el 95% de las muestras y el resto V3 (zorillo) y V11 (murciélagos). A partir del año 2006 se realizó el secuenciamiento nucleotídico en algunas de estas muestras, se utilizó como referencia la clasificación que hizo el CDC (doce linajes de virus de rabia en perro), corroborando que en la región centro del país continúa presente el linaje D5 por persistir el foco enzootico en el Valle de México, de manera aislada con casos únicos los linajes D8 en el foco enzootico de Puebla, D9 en el foco enzootico de Oaxaca y con casos permanentes en los últimos años el linaje D10 en el sureste en el foco rábico en Yucatán y Chiapas, recientemente se activó el D1 (asociado perro-coyote) en Nuevo León en la frontera con Estados Unidos (Texas).